

TRANSIENT LODGING CORRESPONDENCE 7.5.16

257. Kathryn Gimre Wolfard, 4701 NW Woodside Terrace, Portland, OR 97210
Concerned about home inspection and estimated cost, max occupancy of 10 per home not equitable, 1% state lodging tax not a good indicator, asked if issues were a rental problem or and attitude problem.
258. Roger and Katy Qualman, 1410 NW Kearney #610, Portland, OR 97209
Own a home but do not rent, recommendations too restrictive.
259. David Savinar, 1336 NW Northrup Street, Portland, OR 97209
Strongly oppose restrictions on vacation homes.
260. Lara Tennant, 114 SW Kingston Ave, Portland, OR 97205
Opposes restrictions on transient lodging dwellings.
261. Gayle Pedemonte, 12975 SW Coperhaver Rd, Gaston, OR 97119
Opposed to actions to regulate transient lodging.
262. Michael Shea & Kelly Tweeden, 2732 NE Thompson Street, Portland, OR 97212
Oppose proposed restrictions; negative economic impact, negatively impact property values, parking requirements arbitrary, maximum occupancy does not makes sense, no logic reason to provide personal tax information.
263. Lonnie Kiser, 345 E. 1575 S., Washington, UT 84780
Strongly oppose regulations of vacation rentals. There should be no limits on occupancy or parking, regulation infringe on the freedom of property owners.
264. Steve & Melissa Heuser, 10151 Sundance Ridge Loop, Redmond, OR 97756
Strongly oppose proposed restrictions; inspections added work load, regulation undermine tourism.
265. Thomas Gustafson & Kathrine Schroeder, 6411 SE 29th Ave, Portland, OR 97202
Opposed to every part of the proposed zoning code amendments, they have never experienced disrespectful behavior; more permanent residents would increase city services. Evidence does not support a change in the zoning code.
266. Marianne Leipzig, 6831 SW Bancroft Way, Portland, OR 97225
Proposed regulations an overkill.
267. Peter Brix, 7211 SE Wilshire Ct, Milwaukie, OR 97267
Fully support planning commission recommendation regarding Transient Lodging.

268. Peter Brix, 7211 SE Wilshire Ct, Milwaukie, OR 97267
Lives in Palisades, although not within the city limits he is frustrated with the growth and impact of undesirable rentals.
269. David Moseley, 97 S Jackson St. #406, Seattle, WA 98104
In favor of the proposed regulations they will allow home owners to continue to rent their homes in a responsible manner. His quality of life has been impacted by considerably overcrowded homes with number of cars exceeding available space.
270. Karen & Kevin Oyama, 1035 Tyndall Ct., Lake Oswego, OR 97034
Hopes the commission considers NOT approving the regulations. The proposed restrictions have the potential to significantly limit this component of the economy.
271. Sharon Usher & David Townsend, 1717 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95811
Oppose proposed regulations on vacation rental homes. Impact of their vacation rental is non-existent. Property is rented through a management company so they can have immediate response should there be storm damage or other issues. Existing vacation rentals should be grandfathered into the licensing program.
272. Neil Grubb, 7325 SW Newton Place, Portland, OR 97225
New laws unnecessary, current laws should be enforced.
273. Jim Whittemore, 1750 SW Skyline Blvd #200, Portland, OR 97221
Recommendations from Planning Commission unenforceable. Gearhart is a vacation destination, city should encourage vacation home use.
274. Ann Taylor, 5004 SW Lowell St, Portland, OR 97227
Supports Planning Commission's revised recommendations regarding Transient Rental Dwellings.
275. Helen B Lankton, 1132 SW 19TH AVE #703, Portland, OR 97205
Maintain the comprehensive plan, no vacation rentals in residential zones.
276. Jayne Bond, 7955 SW 191st Ave, Beaverton, OR 97007
30 day window to apply for permit insufficient time, zero vacation rentals unrealistic consider a policy for a baseline number.
277. George Osgood, 8700 SW NIMBUS AVE, SUITE C, Beaverton OR 97008
Proposed regulations extreme and unwarranted.
278. Jane & Warren Stolle, 806 NE Hazelfern Place, Portland, OR 97232 and
Doug & Ann Adams, 10131 NW Wilark, Portland, OR 97231

Proposals overreach of personal property rights and divisive to the community. Regulations as written unenforceable, enforce current ordinances, proposals would negatively affect Gearhart's property values and local businesses.

279. Nancy Marshall, P OP Box 2052, Gearhart, OR 97138
Opposed to regulation for STRs as too restrictive
280. Hal Lee, 11505 SW Aventine Circus, Portland, OR 97219
Supports the proposed changes for transient rentals, unfair for commercial rentals to be put shoulder to shoulder with homes in a residential neighborhood.
281. Mark Schlesinger, 610 SW Alder Street #1221, Portland, OR 97205
Opposed to proposed changes regarding transient lodging.
282. Matt Brown & Bill Berg
Propose language that clarify vacation rental business is not allowed in R1 an R2 zones, residential appearance should have no exterior signs or indications the home is a short term rental, recommends clear language that vacation rental permit holders shall be compliant at all times. Recommends ordinance be declared a emergency for immediate passage.
283. Dean Alterman Attorney @ Law, 805 SW Broadway #470, Portland, OR 97205, representing Gearhart vacation home renters.
Asks the council reject the proposal due to lack of factual basis, vacation rentals greatly impact density calculations.
284. David Russell, P O Box 2368, Gearhart, OR 97138
Online rental companies have changed how homes are used, their property is in a residential area with hotel-like business in their midst. Fully supports commission's recommendations regarding STR regulations.
285. Lisa Cerveney, 2473 Westmont Way W, Seattle, WA 98199
Supports proposed STR regulations; proliferation of STRs has created a hotel atmosphere. Critical issue is individuals who purchase multiple residences for the sole purpose of income generation.
286. Steven Weed, P O Box 2304, Gearhart, OR 97138
Original septic issues have been ignored, city has sided with the investor class, regulations have been re-written to produce maximum revenue with no concerns for residential neighborhoods
287. Gary & Christine Roth, 34162 Church Rd, Warren, OR 97053
Opposed to the proposed changes to the Gearhart zoning Code as unnecessary and not in the best interest of the community. Current laws should be enforced.

288. Laurie Whittemore, 6945 SW Gable Parkway, Portland, OR 97225
Opposed to the proposed restrictions and regulations, need for regulations have not been established, the best approach is to educate vacation home owners. Proposed regulations are not for health and safety but to eliminate all vacation rentals.
289. Diane Brock Oser, P O Box 2202, Gearhart, OR 97138
Proposed new regulations overkill and unenforceable, objects to the passage of the new restrictions
290. Corinne Waterbury, Pacific Terrace
Submitted and article from Anaheim where STRs have been shut down.
291. John Desmond, 22 Becket, Lake Oswego, OR 97035
Ownes an apartment complex for both short and long term renters, has concerns city regulations that would affect income from his property, as regulations are developed please consider potential effects to property maintenance, property values and owners.
292. Don Holman, 5733 SW 45th Ave, Portland, OR 97221
Purchased and empty lot on Pacific Way with the intention of building a vacation home, he feels he should have a vested right to build then grandfather in for a vacation rental permit.
293. *number out of sequence*
294. Lisa Cerveney, 2473 Westmont Way W, Seattle, WA 98199
Supports the proposed STR regulations, proliferation of STRs have degraded neighborhoods, critical issue is purchase of multiple residences by individuals for the sole purpose of income generation.
295. David Russell, 2473 WESTMONT WAY W, Seattle, WA 98199
Online rental companies have changed how homes are used, constant turnover of short term renters. Fully supports the planning Commission's recommendations to the Council regarding STRs
296. Penny Sabol, P O Box 2528, Gearhart, OR 97138
Comprehensive Plan envisions a residential community not a hotel zone.
297. Rick Sabol, P O Box 2528, Gearhart, OR 97138
It is the DUTY to enforce the Comprehensive Plan.
298. Wilson & Jeanne Mark, P O Box 2627, Gearhart, OR 97138

A very generous compromise has been executed, long term rentals would be a big positive for all who live, work and own properties in Gearhart.

299. Mike & Janet Cranston, 8360 SW 154th Ave, Beaverton, OR 97007
Off street parking area should be extended, issuance of a provisional permit to cover item for inspection and related steps, renewal fees should be less city should refrain from allocating revenue sources to non-transient rental purposes
300. Amy Bullard, 14004 SE Nichols St, Boring, OR 97009
Urge Council not adopt planning commission recommendations, issues should be solved through communication and education, time a house is rented is cyclical, proposed regulations would negatively affect the economy. Every homeowner in Gearhart should have a septic system inspection, parking should be allowed on road right of way, 2 people to a bedroom – ridiculous, a provision to allow people not currently renting to rent in the future.
301. Kathy Gimre Wolfard, 4701 NW Woodside Terr, Portland, OR 97210
She owns a 4500 sq foot home – one size does not fit all regulations, proposed law should apply to all homes
302. Gay & David Jacobsen, 1930 S.W. SUNSET BLVD, Portland, OR 97239
Strongly against rental restrictions, oppose occupancy requirement as over reaching
303. Jim Whittemore, 6945 SW Gable Parkway, Portland, OR 97225
Work together to resolve the issue in lieu of a prolonged civic and legal battle.
304. Susan Edy, 707 F Street
Four major components effect the safety and livability of Gearhart residents; parking, number of people in a rental home, septic tanks and renter behavior. Overload of people may eventually result in the need for a sewer system; a police position can be split to monitor speeders and STRs, support homeowners who rent long term.
305. Laurie Whittemore, 6945 SW Gable Parkway, Portland, OR 97225
Actions have been taken without any documentation of a problem; there is no need for licensing, inspections or cap on occupants