

Angoleana Torres <planning@cityofgearhart.com>

City File #24-05 ZMA Zoning Map Amendment Request

Carrie Richter <crichter@batemanseidel.com>
To: "planning@cityofgearhart.com" <planning@cityofgearhart.com>
Cc: Peter Watts peter@peterowattspc.com>

Mon, Oct 7, 2024 at 4:55 PM

Good Afternoon,

Attached please find a letter requesting that the City continue the upcoming zoning / plan map amendment request to a future date. I would welcome the opportunity to discuss this with you or with Mr. Watts at your earliest convenience. I expect to be available all day tomorrow, except for the lunch hour, if you would like to reach out to me.

Thank you,

Carrie

Carrie Richter

Bateman⁽⁾Seidel

Bateman Seidel Miner Blomgren Chellis & Gram, P.C.

1000 SW Broadway, Suite 1910

Portland, OR 97205

(503) 972-9903 (direct phone)

(503) 972-9904 (direct fax)

crichter@batemanseidel.com

This e-mail is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). It contains information that is confidential and/or legally privileged. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message. Any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this information by someone other than the intended recipient is prohibited.





Carrie A. Richter <u>crichter@batemanseidel.com</u> <u>www.batemanseidel.com</u> Telephone DID: 503.972.9903 Fax DID: 503.972.9904

October 7, 2024

VIA EMAIL (planning@cityofgearhart.com)

Gearhart Planning Commission c/o Garrett Phillips 698 Pacific Way Gearhart, OR 97138

Re: City File #24-05 ZMA Zoning Map Amendment Request

Dear Commission Members:

This firm represents SCOFI Gearhart LLC, the owner of 1002 Pacific Way in the above-referenced matter scheduled for consideration by the Planning Commission on October 10, 2024.

A week or so ago, my client became aware that the City Council was proceeding with due diligence for construction of a new public safety facility. Knowing that the subject property would allow for safety services to be located within the community at a lower cost, my client representatives reached out offering a portion of their existing property at no charge to the City for this facility and that offer remains open. The timing for this offer had nothing to do with the pending zone change request.

Although unrelated to this zone change request, in order to avoid confusion and to allow for a more robust discussion about long-term uses for this property, my client respectfully requests that the Planning Commission postpone consideration of the pending zone change request until further public service opportunities for this property are resolved.

If the City would like to set the continued hearing to a date certain, we would propose April 10, 2025. Or if you prefer, the applicant will cover the City's cost of re-noticing this hearing for a date and time upon the applicant's request and as fits within the Planning Commission's regular meeting schedule.

Sincerely,

Carrie A. Richter

cc: Peter Watts via email